

December 18, 2019

Mr. Clay Jensen Wingfield Nevada Group 6600 North Wingfield Parkway Sparks, NV 89436

Re: Update of Fiscal Impact Analysis of Proposed Wingfield Commons Development

Mr. Jensen:

Per your request, I updated the fiscal impact analysis of the proposed Wingfield Commons project originally conducted in February 2018. The update includes the following changes:

- 1. Reduction of single-family residential units from 530 units to 454 units.
- 2. Shortening of development period from 12 years (2018-2029) to six years (2021-2026) and starting the analysis in 2021 instead of 2018. The project is expected to construct the majority of its infrastructure, including roads, in 2020, with housing construction starting in 2021. The analysis expects project fiscal impacts to begin in 2021.
- 3. February 2018 analysis assumed 18,200 linear feet of roads dedicated by the project to the City of Sparks for maintenance. December 2019 analysis assumes all project-related roads will be privately maintained with no cost impacts on the City.

No changes to methodology or other inputs (other than discussed above) were made in the December 2019 update. Please see the original February 2018 report for detailed methodology, assumptions, and other information.

These updates impact both the General and Road Funds considered in the fiscal impact analysis. Table 1 below shows a summary of estimated impacts of Wingfield Commons project on the City of Sparks General Fund from the original February 2018 report and the December 2019 update. The table shows General Fund surplus, over the 20-year analysis period, is expected to increase from \$0.85 million in the original report to \$1.7 million in the December 2019 update.

This is due to the changes in inflation and buildout periods between the two reports, as well as reduction in the number of residential units. More importantly, the February 2018 analysis estimated costs for the General Fund's Public Works-Community Services component. As the December 2019 analysis assumes the project will not add any public streets, no costs associated with this component are estimated, resulting in a higher surplus estimate for the City.

550 West Plumb Lane, Suite B459 Reno, NV 89509 (775) 232-7203 www.ekayconsultants.com

117,140 1,564,967 121,226 1,686,192	1 202 109	\$ 12.698.419 \$	\$ 14,384,611	Total		\$ 849,373	\$ 11.570.641	\$ 12.420.013	Total
	121	943,424	1,064,650	2040	849,373	65,183	1,040,739	1,105,922	2037
	117	916,501	1,033,641	2039	784,190	62,737	1,010,974	1,073,710	2036
113,181 1,447,827	113	890,354	1,003,535	2038	721,453	60,370	982,067	1,042,437	2035
109,344 1,334,646	109	864,962	974,305	2037	661,083	58,080	953,995	1,012,075	2034
105,625 1,225,303	105	840,303	945,928	2036	603,003	55,864	926,733	982,597	2033
102,021 1,119,678	102	816,355	918,376	2035	547,139	53,719	900,259	953,978	2032
98,529 1,017,656	98	793,098	891,627	2034	493,420	51,644	874,548	926,192	2031
95,145 919,127	95	770,513	865,658	2033	441,776	49,636	849,580	899,216	2030
91,866 823,982	91	748,579	840,444	2032	392,140	49,493	801,912	851,405	2029
88,687 732,116	88	727,278	815,965	2031	342,647	57,248	706,295	763,543	2028
	85	706,592	792,199	2030	285,400	55,541	610,335	665,875	2027
82,623 557,821	82	686,503	769,126	2029	229,859	52,100	514,479	566,579	2026
79,731 475,198	79	666,993	746,724	2028	177,759	43,486	428,369	471,855	2025
	76	648,047	724,975	2027	134,273	39,295	342,233	381,528	2024
	77	582,444	659,907	2026	94,978	30,267	265,163	295,430	2023
72,528 241,076	72	459,721	532,249	2025	64,712	25,445	187,953	213,398	2022
58,311 168,547	58	330,970	389,280	2024	39,267	16,101	119,173	135,274	2021
43,859 110,237	43	209,275	253,133	2023	23,166	10,757	50,150	60,907	2020
40,375 66,378	40	83,950	124,325	2022	12,410	10,362	5,683	16,044	2019
26,003 \$ 26,003	26	\$ 12,560 \$	\$ 38,564	2021	\$ 2,048	\$ 2,048	€9,	\$ 2,048	2018
Surplus	Surplus	Costs	Revenue	Year	Surplus	Surplus	Costs	Revenue	Year
Revenue	Revenue	Total Project	Total Project 7		Revenue	Revenue	Total Project	Total Project	
Cumulative	Annual				Cumulative	Annual			
	pdate	December 2019 Update	De			Report	February 2018 Report	-	



Table 2. Comparison of Road Fund Impacts

		1,591,948		\$ 1,591,948	Total		\$ (7,060,092)	\$ 8,458,589	\$ 1,398,496	Total
	1,591,	120,135		120,135	2040	(7,060,092)	(371,524)	499,869	128,344	2037
	1,471,	116,636		116,636	2039	(6,688,568)	(375,135)	499,741	124,606	2036
Pebruary 2018 Report Project Annual Cumulative Revenue Revenue Revenue Costs Surplus	1,355,1	113,238	Ŧ.	113,238	2038	(6,313,433)	(378,640)	499,617	120,977	2035
	1,241,9	109,940	•	109,940	2037	(5,934,793)	(382,041)	499,494	117,453	2034
Total Project Total Project Revenue Revenue Revenue Revenue Revenue Costs Surplus Surplus Year Revenue Costs Surplus Surplus Year Revenue Costs Surplus Surplus Year Revenue Costs Surplus Surplus Surplus Year Revenue Costs Surplus Surp	1,132,000	106,738	*	106,738	2036	(5,552,751)	(385,342)	499,375	114,032	2033
Total Project Total Project Revenue Revenue Revenue Revenue Revenue Revenue Revenue Costs Surplus Surp	1,025,2	103,629	į	103,629	2035	(5,167,409)	(388,546)	499,257	110,711	2032
Total Project Total Project Revenue Revenue Revenue Costs Surplus Surp	921,6	100,611	ä	100,611	2034	(4,778,863)	(391,656)	499,142	107,486	2031
Total Project Total Project Revenue Revenue Revenue Revenue Costs Surplus Surplus Year Total Project Revenue Revenue Costs Surplus Surplus Year Total Project Revenue Revenue Costs Surplus Su	821,0	97,680	į	97,680	2033	(4,387,207)	(394,673)	499,029	104,356	2030
Total Project Total Project Revenue Revenue Costs Surplus Surplus Surplus Total Project Revenue Revenue Costs Surplus	723,341	94,835	Ü	94,835	2032	(3,992,534)	(400,650)	498,143	97,493	2029
Total Project Total Project Revenue Revenue Costs Surplus Surp	628,5	92,073	×	92,073	2031	(3,591,884)	(413,093)	497,724	84,631	2028
February 2018 Report	536,4	89,391	10	89,391	2030	(3,178,791)	(424,458)	496,894	72,436	2027
February 2018 Report	447,0	86,788		86,788	2029	(2,754,333)	(435,633)	496,512	60,879	2026
Total Project Total Project Revenue Revenue Costs Surplus Surplus Surplus Costs Surplus Surplus Costs Surplus Costs Surplus Costs Co	360,2	84,260	ï	84,260	2028	(2,318,701)	(445,800)	495,735	49,935	2025
February 2018 Report Cumulative Cumulative Total Project Total Project Revenue Revenue Costs Surplus Surplus Year Revenue Costs Surplus	275,9	81,806	×	81,806	2027	(1,872,901)	(455,812)	495,387	39,576	2024
Total Project Total Project Revenue Surplus Su	194,1	71,726	¥	71,726	2026	(1,417,089)	(464,882)	494,660	29,778	2023
Total Project Total Project Surplus Su	122,4	54,351	ì	54,351	2025	(952,207)	(473,828)	494,346	20,517	2022
Total Project Total Project Revenue Revenue Costs Surplus Surp	68,1	37,927	я	37,927	2024	(478,378)	(481,895)	493,665	11,771	2021
February 2018 Report Annual Cumulative Total Project Total Project Revenue Revenue Revenue Surplus Surplus \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$	30,1	22,413	%¥	22,413	2023	3,516	3,516		3,516	2020
February 2018 Report Annual Cumulative Total Project Total Project Revenue Revenue Revenue Surplus \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$	7,7	7,772	Si .	7,772	2022		*	r	ř.	2019
February 2018 Report Annual Cumulative Total Project Total Project Revenue Revenue Costs Surplus Year Revenue Costs Surplus	↔	j	÷	\$	2021	\$	↔	⇔	\$	2018
February 2018 Report December 2019 Update Annual Cumulative Annual Total Project Revenue Revenue Total Project Total Project Revenue	Surplus	Surplus		Revenue	Year	Surplus	Surplus	Costs	Revenue	Year
nual Cumulative December 2019 Update Annual	Revenue	Revenue	Project			Revenue	Revenue	Total Project	Total Project	
	Cumulativ	Annual				Cumulative	Annual			, i
		tate	ecember 2019 Upd	Do			Report	ebruary 2018 l	-	

Mr. Clay Jensen December 18, 2019 Page 4

Table 2 shows the comparison of the impacts of Wingfield Commons project on the City's Road Fund over the 20-year analysis period. The February 2018 report found a deficit for the Road Fund of \$7.1 million over the 20-year analysis period. Assuming all project-related streets will be privately maintained, the December 2019 update estimates no Road Fund costs, resulting in a surplus for the Fund of \$1.6 million over the 20-year analysis period.

The above analysis shows that the Wingfield Commons project is expected to have a **positive fiscal impact** on the City of Sparks, generating a surplus for the General and Road Funds over the 20-year analysis period.

Please contact me with any questions or concerns.

Eugema Loemore

Sincerely,

Eugenia Larmore, PhD, MBA, CMA, CVA, MAFF



United States Department of the Interior



BUREAU OF LAND MANAGEMENT Sierra Front Field Office 5665 Morgan Mill Road Carson City, Nevada 89701 http://www.blm.gov/nv

NOV 2 0 2019

In Reply Refer To: N-55296-01 2912 (NVC02)

Your Reference: Golden Eagle Regional Park

Mr. Neil C. Krutz, ICMA-CM City Manager City of Sparks 431 Prater Way Sparks, Nevada 89432-0857

Dear Mr. Krutz:

The Bureau of Land Management (BLM) issued the above-referenced Recreation and Public Purposes Act (R&PP) lease to the City of Sparks on February 27, 2006 for a recreation complex, known as Golden Eagle Regional Park (GERP), in the Spanish Springs area, Washoe County, Nevada. The lease authorized various recreational facilities, including a sports complex, community park, 9-hole golf course, an equestrian center, a natural area, and an interpretive center as shown in Exhibit B of the lease (Master Plan, December 2003).

The BLM received your updated Master Plan on October 31, 2019. The document was created in response to our request to update the GERP R&PP lease during the meeting held at the Carson City District Office on September 4, 2019. The reason we requested the least update was due to substantial differences between the facilities shown in Exhibit B of the existing lease and the facilities that were actually constructed. In addition, the BLM determined that the right-of-way (ROW) application submitted by The Foothills at Wingfield LLC (Foothills), for roads and utilities to serve the proposed Wingfield Commons subdivision, would not be appropriate third-party uses within the R&PP lease because several of the facilities shown on the currently recognized Master Plan map (December 2003) could not be constructed if the proposed ROWs were authorized.

The revised Master Plan documents you submitted contain the information that was requested at the meeting on September 4, 2019. The revised map is consistent with other versions our office has previously received from the City of Sparks and clearly shows facility development that is anticipated in the near future. Locations of public roads within GERP that will provide access to the Wingfield Commons subdivision and future park improvements on the east side of the leased area are shown, as are new recreational facilities that the City plans to construct to fully utilize

CALIFORNIA", NEVADA', OREGON'

'PARTIAL

DEC 1 8 2019

COMMUNITY CHANGES

the lands that were leased by the BLM. The written portion of the Master Plan update sufficiently describes how the City of Sparks will continue to operate GERP after incorporating the revised facilities shown on the map. The BLM has determined that the Master Plan update is consistent with the purposes for which the R&PP lease was issued and has updated Exhibit B of your existing lease with this new information. Please note your records accordingly.

By approving the updated Master Plan, the BLM can now determine that the ROWs proposed by Foothills would be appropriate within the R&PP lease. The BLM will work with Foothills to determine which facilities will require separate ROW authorizations to be issued to the developer. In light of the proposed driveway and EVA road being approved facilities under the R&PP lease, the BLM does not anticipate needing to issue ROWs to Foothills for either facility. The City of Sparks and Foothills may work together to develop and maintain these two facilities within the leased area consistent with the Recreation and Public Purposes Act, as amended (43 U.S.C. 869, et seq.) and your approved lease.

As the City develops the new facilities shown on the approved Master Plan update, please coordinate with the BLM on matters that affect the interface between the R&PP lease boundary and surrounding public lands, most notably Off-Highway Vehicle (OHV) access and related signage. Our office will work with the City of Sparks to the extent we are able to help ensure that user conflicts are minimized. Construction of an informational kiosk and appropriate OHV-specific signage may be possible as resources allow.

If you have any questions, please contact Matt Simons, Realty Specialist, at (775) 885-6162, email msimons@blm.gov, or at the above address.

Sincerely,

Victoria Wilkins Acting Field Manager

Sierra Front Field Office

cc: Mr. Clay Jensen, P.E., LEED AP

Vice President of Development & Construction

Wingfield Nevada Group 6600 N. Wingfield Parkway Sparks, Nevada 89436-8605



76.985 - 5